

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Hox W50 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO  |                       | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. |              |  |
|---|-----------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/045,267  | 10/045,267 10/23/2001 |              | Prathima Agrawal     | APP 1291                             | 2269         |  |
| 9941  | 7590                  | 10/25/2005   |                      | EXAM                                 | EXAMINER     |  |
|   |                       | HNOLOGIES, I | TANG, KAREN C        |                                      |              |  |
| ONE TELCORDIA DRIVE 5G'116<br>PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854-4157 |                       |              |                      | ART UNIT                             | PAPER NUMBER |  |
|   | ,                     |              | ·                    | 2151                                 |              |  |
|   |                       |              |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/25/2005              |              |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s)   | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/045,267      | AGRAWAL ET AL. |              |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit       |              |  |
| Karen C. Tang   | 2151           |              |  |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner   | Art Unit                |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Karen C. Tang  | 2151                    |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |  |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HE REPLY FILED <u>06 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the  |  |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| following time periods:  |  | y mast be med within    | one or the       |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f  | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th<br>an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI | f the final rejection.  |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |  |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).   |  |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |  |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>   | nsideration and/or search (see NO  |                         | because ·        |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be   | • •  | educing or simplifying  | the issues for   |  |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or<br>(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally re   | iected claims           |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.   | -  | jected ciaims.          |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.   | •  | ompliant Amendment      | (PTOL-324).      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | ·  | _                       | _                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  | rill be entered and an  | explanation of   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .  |  |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34</u> .  |  |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-30</u> .   |  |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |  |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe  | al and/or appellant fa  | ils to provide a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | on of the status of the claims after o   | entry is below or attac | ched.            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by  | ut does NOT place the application i  | n condition for allowa  | nce because:     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:   |  | 1 //                    |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. [a] Outot,   | (Xam)  | LANG<br>I MAKING        |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ZARN   | I MAUNG                 |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Claims 35-38

Claim 35 (new) A method for dynamically providing an Internet Protocol (1P) adess pool lbr a dynamic address allocation protocol to serve address for a wireless cell depending on whether a request for an address by a wireless cell involves a time xnsitive or a time sensitive demand, said method comprising the step of: partitioning the IP pool into groups of address spaces for use with an associate user group whhin the wireless cell; monitoring the types and frequencies of address demands associated with the wireless cell, the wireless cell distinguishing between time qantitive IP address demands and time insensitive IP address server based on the number of time sensitive and time insensitive demands as distinguished by the wireless cell.

Claim 36 (new) The mdhod of claim 35 wherein said dynaic address allôcation protocol is DHCP.

Claim 37 (new) ne method of clnim 35 wherein a requegl for an address by a wireless cell involving a handoffhost i& a time sensitive reqhleq and a requegt involving a dormppt hogt is a time insensitive request.

Claim 38 (new) A method for dynamically providing IP addressei lô rouesting wireless terminnlg in a communication network, said methM eomprising the steps of a system IP address server predicatively allocating addresses to a plurality of IP address pools and providing pools of P addressts to wireless IP address agents; a wireless IP agent upon receiving a request for an IP address from a requesting wireless terminal determining if said request is time sensitive or time insensitive; if said request is time sensitive said weeless IP agent directly prodding to said wirelegs terminal an IP address and the IP address pool at said wireless IP agent; and if said request is time-insensitive, said wireless IP agent formarding maid request to said system IP address server.